I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: 43/3 Signature: (Ruth Saskowski)

Signature

June 23, 2003

Date



PATENT Docket No. 381092000721

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "FIRST CLASS MAIL"

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on June 23, 2003. THE CENTER TOOS OF THE PARTY TOO STORY TO STORY

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Terrance P. SNUTCH and David L. BAILLIE

Serial No.:

09/611,257

Filing Date:

6 July 2000

For:

MAMMALIAN T-TYPE CALCIUM

CHANNELS

Examiner: Nirmal S. Basi

Group Art Unit: 1646

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to a Communication sent by the Office on 3 June 2003, for which a date to respond was set as one (1) month from that date or 3 July 2003. The Communication stated that applicants did not address the rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicants apologize for this omission.

The rejection is based on the assertion that, "it is unclear what interaction is a functional calcium channel so as to allow metes and bounds of the claim to be determined."

Respectfully, applicants believe that the functionality of calcium ion channels is well described in the art as well as in the specification. The interaction is to permit the flow of calcium ions into the cell. In this context, it is believed that a "functional" calcium ion channel is self-explanatory. The Office is referred to page 1 of the specification, lines 13-16.

It is believed that the foregoing constitutes a sufficient response to obviate the outstanding rejection.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Assistant Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing docket No. 381092000721.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

June 23, 2003

Kate H. Murashige

Registration No. 29,959

Morrison & Foerster LLP

3811 Valley Centre Drive, Suite 500

San Diego, California 92130-2332

Telephone: (858) 720-5112

Facsimile: (858) 720-5125